アメリカ大統領選についてのグローバル分析
― あなたがアメリカ人ならヒラリーかトランプのどちらに投票するか？―

桜美林大学アジア文化研究所　猪口 孝
東京大学　松原 望

The 2016 US Presidential election is long remembered as historic and unprecedented. By historic is meant that, contrary to pre-election expectations held by many commentators, Donald Trump geared the course of the country 180 degree after taking his office as his campaign statements such as America First, protectionism, self-disentanglement, anti-globalism pointed to. By unprecedented is meant that through twitters Trump attracted the poor white in the Midwesterners/Appalachians/Evangelicals, who felt most negatively affected by the tide of globalization depriving them of their manufacturing bases and by the great depression of 2008 and most effectively captured power.

The beauty of the WIN/Gallup International's End of the Year Survey 2016 is that its questionnaire was designed, befitting of an era of global democracy. In other words, given the hegemonic influence of the United States over every and each country outside the United States, all the citizens other than US citizens should have the right to vote US president.

We focus on the following six questions: 1) Do you vote Clinton vs Trump? 2) How much is the impact of American President on what happens in your country? 3) Considering that America leads the global economy, should the new American President give priority to the economic interests of the American people, the interests of the people of the world as a whole or equally to both? 4) What in your view has been the overall impact of President Obama on the power of America in the world? Has he made it stronger, weaker or made no difference during his 8 years in power? 5) If Mrs. Clinton becomes the next president, would she perform better than Obama, worse or just as well? 6) If Donald Trump becomes the next President, would he perform better than Obama, worse or just as well?

Respondents' attributes, i.e., gender, age, income, education, employment, religion, are related to their perceptions. We focus on G7 (US, France, Germany, Japan) and G20 (Russia, China, India, South Korea, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan) countries. Two statistical methods, i.e., principal component analysis with varimax rotation ad logit regression analysis, are used.

Although our analysis is still in the mid-stage, we attempt to place statistical analysis in the historical context of American presidential election in 2016.

Quickly to glance at what were the Clinton vs Trump duels in the following countries' responses, one may as well get surprised to see how close President Trump has been acting toward each of these countries including the United States in light of the percentages with which Trump was supported therein. In other words, the more Trump got in 2016, the more friendly or nastily Trump has been acting vis-a-vis each of the five countries including the United States.

	Voting (%)	
	Trump	**Clinton**
Japan	3.28	59.57
China	43.91	53.30
India	26.63	49.07
Russia	32.99	9.74
US	41.40	47.50

The above comparative light on Trump's foreign policy will be further enhanced by principal component analysis and logit regression analysis. Here it is necessary to note that the whole endeavor here is to formulate that people's responses are influenced by people's responses to other questions and respondents' attributes and that through such analysis we infer from such equations a certain set of significant correlations therebetween.